



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,621	12/22/2003	Jens Bjerre Knudsen	6494.210-US	7397

23650 7590 06/23/2008
NOVO NORDISK, INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST
PRINCETON, NJ 08540

EXAMINER

MOLINA, ANITA C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/23/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nnipatent@novonordisk.com
KSHL@novonordisk.com
KISW@novonordisk.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/743,621	Applicant(s) KNUDSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner ANITA MOLINA	Art Unit 3626	

All Participants:

(1) ANITA MOLINA.

(2) Marc A. Began, Reg. No. 48,829.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2008

Status of Application: 41/Non-Final Action Mailed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:45 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 (b) rejection should be changed to a 102(e) rejection

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In the Office Action mailed on 05/15/2008, Examiner inadvertently rejectected claims 1-4, 6-10, and 40-46 under 102(b) instead of the appropriate 102(e). The attorney will respond to the 102(e) rejection in the next correspondence.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/C Luke Gilligan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

